As of 1/29/2004

Upper Klamath Basin Science Workshop Agenda				
	Plenary Session			
	February 3, 2004 (Day 1) Water and Water Related Resources			
	Topic	Speaker	Affiliation	
7:15-8:00	Registration	Dannia Lumah	LIC Coolegies Comme	
8:00-8:15 8:15-8:35	Meeting Overview & Objectives Upcoming Federal Investment in the	Dennis Lynch Jason Peltier	US Geological Survey Department of the Interior	
6.13-6.53	Upper Klamath Basin	Jason Felliei	Deputy Assistant Secretary Water and Science	
8:35-8:50	Role of Science in Resource Management	Rip Shively Shannon Cunniff	US Geological Survey Bureau of Reclamation	
8:50-9:10	Geographic Context of Upper Klamath Basin and Data Needs	John Ritter	Oregon Institute of Technology	
	Agency & Stakeho	Ider Perspectives	5,	
9:10-9:40	Overview of National Research	Bill Lewis	Univ of Colorado & NRC	
	Council (NRC) Report and the		Chair, Committee on	
	Relative Importance of Identified Science Needs		Endangered & Threatened Fishes in the Klamath River	
	Colonice (Vecus		Basin	
9:40-9:55	Q&A and Other Needs			
Bureau of Re				
9:55-10:15	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to	Dave Sabo	Bureau of Reclamation	
	Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data,			
	or Solutions We Wish We Had to			
	More Effectively Fulfill That Role			
10:15-10:20	Overview of Conservation			
	Implementation ProgramPurpose,			
10:20-10:30	Status, and Planned Actions Q&A and Other Needs			
10:30-10:45	Break			
Fish and Wil				
10:45-11:05	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to	Curt Mullis	Fish and Wildlife Service	
	Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related			
	Resources and the Information, Data,			
	or Solutions We Wish We Had to			
11:05 11:15	More Effectively Fulfill That Role Q&A and Other Needs			
11:05-11:15 Q&A and Other Needs The Klamath Tribes				
11:15-11:35	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to	Allen Foreman	The Klamath Tribes	
	Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related	(invited)		
	Resources and the Information, Data,			
	or Solutions We Wish We Had to			
44.05.44.45	More Effectively Fulfill That Role			
11:35-11:45	Q&A and Other Needs			
11:45-1:00	Lunch (catered lunch with lunch- time presentations			
	ume presentations			

	Topic	Speaker	Affiliation
Bureau of La	ind Management	Орошкої	, illianon
12:15-12:35	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role	Jon Raby	Bureau of Land Management
12:35-12:45 12:45-1:00	Q&A and Other Needs Lunch time stretch break		
	er Basin Working Group		
1:00-1:30	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role	Marshall Staunton Mark Stern	Hatfield Upper Basin Working Group
1:30-1:40	Q&A and Other Needs		
Klamath Wat		01 15 1	
1:40-2:10	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role	Steve Kandra	Klamath Water Users Association
2:10-2:20	Q&A and Other Needs		
	gon (Oregon Water Resources Departm		
2:20-2:50	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role	Barry Norris Chip Dale	State of Oregon
2:50-3:10	Q&A and Other Needs		
Unable to Attend	Fornia (Fish and Game and/or DWR) Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role Q&A and Other Needs	Don Koch	State of California
Forest Service			
3:10-3:30	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role	Richard Ford	Forest Service
3:30-3:40 3:40-3:50 NRCS	Q&A and Other Needs Break		
3:50-4:10	Upper Klamath Basin Role Related to Water, Fish, and Other Water-Related Resources and the Information, Data, or Solutions We Wish We Had to More Effectively Fulfill That Role	Kevin Conroy	Natural Resources Conservation Service
4:10-4:20 4:20-4:50 4:50-5:00 5:00-5:20	Q&A and Other Needs Overview of Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team Report Q&A and Other Needs Open Discussion	Stan Gregory	Oregon State University

Upper Klamath Basin Science Workshop Agenda Sucker Ecology – Session A (Day 2) February 4, 2004			
Affiliation			
eological Survey			
Ilamath Tribes			
on State University			
lature Conservancy			
eological Survey			
on State University			
eological Survey			
(la			

Upper Klamath Basin Science Workshop Agenda Physical Hydrology Session B February4, 2004 (Day 2) Water and Water Related Resources			
	Topic	Speaker	Affiliation
8:00-8:10	Opening Remarks, Introductions	Dennis Lynch	US Geological Survey
8:10-8:35	General Surface-Water Hydrology	Jonathan La Marche	Oregon Water Resources Department
8:35-8:45	Discussion		
8:45-9:10	Ground –Water Hydrology	Marshall Gannett	US Geological Survey
9:10-9:20	Discussion	Over MaCaba	LIC Cooleminal Commen
9:20-9:40 9:40-9:50	Hydroclimate of the Western U.S. Discussion	Greg McCabe	US Geological Survey
9:50-10:05	Break		
10:05-10:25	Evapotranspiration	Bill Bidlake	US Geological Survey
10:25-10:40	Discussion	Loolio Doob	The Neture Concervency
10:40-11:00	Hydrologic Restoration of Wetlands: Opportunities, Unknowns, and Constraints.	Leslie Bach	The Nature Conservancy
11:00-11:15	Discussion		
11:15-11:35	Water Supply Forecasting	Phil Pasteris	Natural Resources Conservation Service
11:35-11:50	Discussion		
11:50-1:05	Lunch (On Your Own)		
1:05-1:25	Estimation of Natural Flows	Tom Perry	Bureau of Reclamation
1:25-1:40	Discussion		
1:40-1:55	Quantification of Ground-Water Use	Bruce Fisher	US Geological Survey
1:55-2:10	Discussion	Dag.: Enganage	California Daluta abaia
2:10-2:25	Hydrology and Water-Budgets in the Klamath Project Area	Beau Freeman	California Polytechnic State University
2:25-2:40	Discussion		
2:40-2:55	Break	D. 11 O. 1	
2:55-3:10	Water Banking and Water-Supply Enhancement	Phil Graf	Bureau of Reclamation
3:10-3:25	Discussion	_	
3:25-3:40	Klamath Basin Rangeland Trust Project	Graham Matthews	Graham Matthews and Associates
3:40-3:55	Discussion		
3:55-4:10	A Ground-Water Demonstration Project in Oregon	Mike Zwart	Oregon Water Resources Department
4:10-4:25	Ground-Water Monitoring in the Tule Lake Subbasin	TBD	·
4:25-4:40	Discussion		
4:40-5:00	Wrap-up and Discussion		

Upper Klamath Basin Science Workshop Agenda					
Sucker Ecology (continued) Session A					
February 5, 2004 (Day 3)					
Water and Water Related Resources					
	Topic Speaker Affiliation				
0.00 0.20	Adult Sucke		LIC Coolegical Curvey		
8:00-8:30	Overview of the Upper Klamath Lake Adult Sucker Monitoring Program and Current Status of Adult Sucker Populations	Rip Shively	US Geological Survey		
8:30-9:00	Q&A				
9:00-9:20	Overview of Adult Sucker Behavior Studies Below Upper Klamath Lake	Rich Piaskowski	Bureau of Reclamation		
9:20-9:30	Q&A				
9:30-9:50	Movements and Behavior of Radio- Tagged Adult Lost River and Shortnose Suckers With Respect to Water Quality in Upper Klamath Lake	Barbara Adams	US Geological Survey		
9:50-10:00	Q&A				
10:00-10:15	Break				
10:15-11:45	Open Discussion				
11:45-1:00	Lunch (On your Own)				
Miscellaneou		M 1 D 11	E. 1 1742/1816 O .		
1:15-1:35	Overview of Lost River and Shortnose Sucker Spawning Habitat	Mark Buettner	Fish and Wildlife Service		
1:35-1:45	Q&A	Thomas Dowling	Arizana Stata University		
1:45-2:05	Overview of the Sucker Genetics in the Klamath Basin and Needs for Future Research	Thomas Dowling	Arizona State University		
2:05-2:15	Q&A	0 " = "	E. 1 11471 H.C 0 .		
2:15-2:35	Overview of Fish Health Information for Adult Suckers in Upper Klamath Lake and Needs for Future Research	Scott Foott	Fish and Wildlife Service		
2:35-2:45	Q&A				
2:45-3:00	Break	D :10:	0 0, ,		
3:00-3:20	Long-Term Patterns of Juvenile Sucker Parasite Prevalence and Exotic Fishes in Upper Klamath Lake	David Simon	Oregon State University		
3:20-3:30	Q&A				
3:30-5:00	Open Discussion				
5:00-	Wrap-up of day's events with Participants, facilitators, and Recorders				
<u> </u>	1.00010010				

Upper Klamath Basin Science Workshop Agenda			
Water Quality -	– Session B		
February 5, 20	004 (Day 3)		
Water and Water Related Resources			
Tonic	Sneaker		

Water and Water Related Resources				
	Topic	Speaker	Affiliation	
8:00-8:10	Opening Remarks, Introductions, etc.	Dennis Lynch	US Geological Survey	
8:10-8:25	History of UKL and Watershed	Joe Eilers	JC Headwaters	
	Derived from Lake Cores			
8:25-8:40	Open Discussion			
8:40-8:55	External Loading and Sources of	Jake Kann	Aquatic Ecosystem	
	P and N to UKL		Sciences, LLC	
8:55-9:10	Open Discussion			
9:10-9:25	Natural and Anthropogenic Sources of	Steve Kirk	Oregon Department of	
	Watershed Nutrients		Environmental Quality	
9:25-9:40	Open Discussion		ř	
9:40-10:00	Break			
10:00-10:15	Sprague River Water Quality	Joe Eilers	JC Headwaters	
	Nutrients and Temperature			
10:15-10:30	Open Discussion			
10:30-10:45	Historical Losses of P and N from	Dan Snyder	US Geological Survey	
10.00 10.10	UKL Wetlands	Ball Ollydel	Ge declogical earvey	
10:45-11:00	Open Discussion			
11:00-11:15	Wetland Restoration – Water Quality	Allison Aldous	The Nature Conservancy	
11.00-11.13	Implications	Allison Aldous	The Nature Conservancy	
11:15-11:30	Open Discussion			
11:30-11:50	Rise of Superabundant Cyanobacteria	Stan Coigar or		
11.30-11.50	•	Stan Geiger or	Orogen State University	
	(Aphanizomenon) Populations in UKL	Eric Henry	Oregon State University,	
	with Progressive Loss of Wetlands		Department of Botany and	
44.50 40.00	Diamonian		Plant Pathology	
11:50-12:00	Discussion			
12:00-1:15	Lunch (On Your Own)		A (: E (
1:15-1:30	Internal loading of P and N in UKL (In-	Jake Kann	Aquatic Ecosystem	
	Lake Observations)		Sciences, LLC	
1:30-1:45	Open Discussion			
1:45-2:00	Internal loading of P in UKL (Lab	Tammy Wood	US Geological Survey	
	Experiments)			
2:00-2:15	Open Discussion			
2:15-2:30	Preliminary Hydrodynamic Results for	Ralph Cheng	US Geological Survey	
	UKL and Future Science Needs			
2:30-2:45				
2:45-3:15	Break			
3:15-3:35	DO Dynamics in UKL (Biological and	Tammy Wood	US Geological Survey	
	Physical Processes)			
3:35-3:50	Open Discussion			
3:50-4:05	Klamath River Water Quality Link	Mike Deas	Watercourse Engineering,	
	River Dam to the Pacific Ocean		Inc.	
4:05-4:20	Open Discussion			
4:20-4:35	Klamath River Research Needs	Sharon Campbell	US Geological Survey	
4:35-4:50	Open Discussion	•	,	
4:50-5:00	Wrap-up and Discussion of Friday's	Dennis Lynch	US Geological Survey	
	Agenda	•	,	

Upper Klamath Basin Science Workshop Agenda Plenary Session February 6, 2004 (Day 4) Water and Water Related Resources			
	Topic	Speaker	Affiliation
8:00-9:15	Discussion of the Synthesis and Reporting of Identified Needs to the Regional Directors and All Participants	Rip Shively Dennis Lynch Wedge Watkins	US Geological Survey US Geological Survey Bureau of Land Management
9:15-9:30	Description of Participant Involvement in Prioritizing Science Needs and How Information will be Reported and Used	Dan Fritz Chuck Hennig	Bureau of Reclamation Bureau of Reclamation
9:30-10:30	Break and Time for Participants to Prioritize Identified Science Needs (i.e., vote)*		
10:30-11:00	Next Steps	Shannon Cunniff Dennis Lynch	Bureau of Reclamation US Geological Survey
11:00-11:15 11:15	Workshop Evaluation Adjourn. (Regional Directors will remain after the workshop to talk informally with participants)	Dennis Lynch	US Geological Survey

*This compilation of Upper Klamath Basin (UKB) science and technology needs, and the results of priority votes for these needs, represent input from various perspectives (UKB resource manager, UKB technical, UKB stakeholder, and independent subject matter experts). This information is intended only as one source of input to assist those agencies and organizations responsible for resource management in the UKB to consider for water, fish, and water-related resource planning and actions.

These needs **do not** commit any agency or organization to take actions to address these needs. Actual priority and actions will be determined by the agency or organization with the authority or responsibility associated with the actions. Decisions by the responsible agency or organization to act on the needs in this report will depend on authorities, availability of staff, funding, affordability, other information and considerations that could affect decisions, and the priority of other competing needs within the agency.